The Role of Γαĩα πελώρη in Hesiod's *Theogony*

In Hesiod's *Theogony*, one of Gaia's most common epithets is $\pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\eta$. This paper seeks to demonstrate how $\Gamma a\tilde{i}a \pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\eta$ functions as a monstrous being—consequential in the realms of politics and gender on a cosmic scale. The epithet itself— $\pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\eta$ —suggests a connection with the monstrous. The usage of $\pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\eta$ and its related forms is rather limited, appearing only 34 times in the TLG corpus, 14 of which are in the *Theogony*. $\pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\rho\varsigma$ is defined as 'monstrous, prodigious, huge." (LSJ) Entities described as such are often alive and a divinity. M.L. West translates $\Gamma a\tilde{i}a \pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\eta$ as "huge Earth" (West 7) and Athanassakis, "Gaia, the broad breasted" (Athanassakis 14). Though such translations emphasize Gaia's size, they erase the sombre connotation $\Gamma a\tilde{i}a \pi\epsilon\lambda\omega\rho\eta$ of monstrosity. Monsters are a blight to order—creators of an "anti cosmos" (Clay 115). Gaia is first introduced as " $\Gamma a\tilde{i}' \epsilon \delta\rho \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho vo\varsigma$, $\pi \delta v \tau \omega v \delta \delta \sigma \varsigma \delta \sigma \phi a \lambda \delta \varsigma a \delta c \delta a \delta \sigma \phi a \lambda \delta \varsigma$ is a bringer of order, however, this is not the Gaia who acts during the Successions of the *Theogony*.

During the Succession Myths, the actions of $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{i} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ shows a clear proclivity to opposing order. Metrically, $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{i} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ always appears in the final two feet of a line. She appears 5 times during the 3 Succession Myths: twice each with Ouranos and Zeus and once with Kronos. In each Succession, the first $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{i} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ marks her beginning to overthrow the current ruler. It alerts the reader of the ensuing cosmic political upheaval. At every point, $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{i} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ disrupts the contemporary political system and opposes order—a key characteristic of monstrosity. She even attempts an overthrow of Zeus after the Titanomachy. In what ought to be the final iteration of cosmic power, $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ begins one final attempt to change the kingship. Through this, she inadvertently confirms Zeus as ultimate ruler of the cosmos. Even $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ could not usurp him, and, after the Typhonomachy, Gaia shows unwavering support to Zeus and never initiates political upheaval as $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ again. The last occurrence of $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ comes at the defeat of Typhoeus. At this point, " $\sigma \tau ov \dot{\alpha} \chi i \zeta \epsilon \delta \tilde{\epsilon}$ $\gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ " (*Theogony* 858), mirroring the first occurrence of $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$: " $\dot{\eta} \delta$ " $\dot{\epsilon} v \tau \dot{\sigma} \zeta$ $\sigma \tau ov \alpha \chi i \zeta \epsilon \tau o \Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ " (*Theogony* 159). Gaia's role as $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ ends as it begins: groaning. With this, $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ —the monstrous opponent of order—is finished. Gaia affirms the reign of Zeus and takes her place as the seat of the gods; she assumes the role she was destined to hold: $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{i} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho v \sigma \zeta$.

Throughout the narrative of the *Theogony*, one of the key conditions for $\Gamma a \tilde{i} a \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ to appear is gender-based violence. First, When Ouranos cruelly violates Gaia, $\Gamma a \tilde{i} a \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ responds to the " $\pi a \tau \rho \delta \varsigma \dot{a} \tau a \sigma \theta \dot{a} \lambda o v \dots \pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \varsigma \gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{a} \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} a \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau o \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ " (*Theogony* 164, 6). When Kronos commits violence against Rhea in like kind, $\Gamma a \tilde{i} a \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ responds. Finally, when Zeus commits wanton ecological damage, $\Gamma a \tilde{i} a \pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho \eta$ responds. Though not a sexually violent offense, Zeus still commits violence against a female deity, Gaia herself. Through these three Successions, there is an emergence of the male deity at the expense of the female in terms of expression of power. Generally, female power is expressed by $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma$ and male power by $\beta i a$ (Holmberg 2). The male deities increase in $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma$ as female deities (Gaia) decreases in $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma$.

When Γαĩα πελώρη plots to overthrow Ouranos, she "δολίην δὲ κακὴν ἐπεφράσσατο τέχνην" (*Theogony* 160). Against Kronos, she and Ouranos "οἱ πεφραδέτην" (*Theogony* 475). Against Zeus, "όπλότατον τέκε παῖδα Τυφωέα" (*Theogony* 821). Γαῖα πελώρη goes from reliant on trickery to merely reacting without any hint of μήτις. On the other hand, the male kings go from only possessing $\beta i \alpha$ (Ouranos) to possessing $\beta i \alpha$ as well as being indwelled with $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ (Zeus). Male $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ tends to benefit society and order, while female $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ tends to oppose it (Holmberg 3). Indeed, $\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\imath} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \rho \eta$ uses her $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ monstrously—to oppose order; Zeus uses his $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ to order the cosmos. Through these deities, a power struggle between genders results in Hesiod's final cosmic order where the male (Zeus) rules by $\beta i \alpha$ and $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ and the female ($\Gamma \alpha \tilde{\imath} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \rho \eta$) becomes tamed and placed in subservience where her $\mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma$ can no longer foster disorder.

Works Cited

Clay, Jenny. "The Generation of Monsters in Hesiod." *Classic Philology*, vol. 88, no. 2, Apr. 1993, pp. 105-116.

Hesiodus. *Theogony*. Translated by Apostolos Athanassakis, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 2004.Hesiodus. *Theogony and Works and Days*. Translated by Martin West, Oxford University Press,

1988.

Holmberg, Ingrid. "The Sign of Metis." Arethusa 30, no. 1 (1997): 1–33.

See LSJ sv πέλωρος, -α, -ον